

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Rio Grande - Rangeland

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Rio Grande - Rangeland		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the planned practice(s) promote water conservation on the contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the project address invasive and/or noxious plants on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the project result in an improvement to the existing management system to meet the state AFO/CAFO regulations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does the project increase the diversity of desirable plants on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Does the project improve the health of riparian and/or wetland areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Is the proposed project located within the State's NRCS wildlife priority area, and do the planned practices address the habitat needs of the targeted species designated in the wildlife priority area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Will the proposed project reduce field soil loss to below "T" or will the planned practice(s) reduce irrigation induced/stream bank erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Does the applicant currently use a progressive conservation plan that was developed within the last five years and includes the same resource concern and practices they are currently applying for?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Does the applicant meet one or more of the following conditions: a. Did the applicant successfully complete any past EQIP contract(s) in full compliance; or b. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will Integrated Pest Management (IPM) be implemented in the contract?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Does the applicant use a progressive conservation plan that was developed within the last 5 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Applicant has not had an EQIP contract within the last 5 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Applicant has not cancelled an EQIP or any other USDA program contract within the last 4 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

5. Applicant has not cancelled an EQIP contract 2 years into the contract?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Has applicant completed an EQIP contract within the last 2 years?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Applicant does not have a current EQIP contract?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Does contract area include T&E species (animals or plants), and contract will address beneficial use to these species?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Will contracted area include more than 1 landuse?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Does contract area fall within 6-digit watershed listed within 303(d) list?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Will contracted conservation practices increase diversity of desirable plants?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Does contract area include streams or riparian areas and have conservation practices addressing those concerns?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Can the feed and forage on the contracted acreage be balanced of the planned periods of use without feeding any supplemental hay?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Does producer participate in Nutritional balancer (NutBal)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Does contract include fencing for 1 or 2 additional pastures that will be used in a grazing system to reduce the average grazing period?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Does contract include fencing for 3 or 4 additional pastures that will be used in a grazing system to reduce the average grazing period?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Does contract include fencing for 4 or more additional pastures that will be used in a grazing system to reduce the average grazing period?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Does contract provide watering facilities for all pastures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Is the producer following a rotational grazing system with 3 or more pastures per herd?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Is the producer following a switch-back grazing system resting pasture at least 45 days?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Is the producer following a continuous grazing system and does grazing exceed 60 days?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Does producer have a Coordinated Plan w/other Federal or State agencies?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist: Signature Date:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development: Signature Date:
---	---